Asia-Pacific School and Workshop on Gravitation and Cosmology

Gravito-Electromagnetic coupled perturbations and QNMs of a charged black hole with scalar hair

Wen-Di Guo

Lanzhou University

Collaborators: Qin Tan, Yu-Xiao Liu

2023. 5. 17 @ Qiandao Lake





- 1. Introduction
 2. Perturbation equations
 3. Quasinormal modes
- ≻4. Conclusions

Singularity?

Topological star/black hole model [1,2,3]

A five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell theory

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G_5} \hat{R} - \frac{1}{16\pi} \hat{F}^{MN} \hat{F}_{MN} \right)$$

The extra dimension y is a warped circle with radius R_y

$$ds^{2} = -f_{S}(r)dt^{2} + f_{B}(r)dy^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{S}(r)f_{B}(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} + r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}$$

$$F = P\sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi,$$

$$f_{B}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{B}}{r} \qquad f_{S}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{S}}{r} \qquad P = \pm \frac{1}{G_{5}}\sqrt{3r_{S}r_{B}}$$

Similar to the classical black hole in macrostate geometries

Constructed from type IIB string theory

[1] I. Bah and P. Heidmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 151101 (2021), [2011.08851].

[2] I. Bah and P. Heidmann, [2012.13407].

[3] S. Stotyn and R. B. Mann, Phys. Lett. B 705, 269 (2011), [1105.1854].



KK reduction

A four-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory

$$S_{4} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G_{4}} R_{4} - \frac{3}{8\pi G_{4}} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial_{\nu} \Phi \right)$$
$$- \frac{e^{-2\Phi}}{16\pi e^{2}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right).$$
$$G_{4} = e^{2}G_{5} \qquad e^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi R_{y}} \qquad e^{2\Phi} = f_{B}^{-1/2}$$

Metric
$$ds_4^2 = f_B^{\frac{1}{2}} [-f_S dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f_B f_S} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$

 $f_B(r) = 1 - \frac{r_B}{r}$
 $r < r_B f_B^{1/2}$ becomes to imaginary

 $(r = r_B)$ is the end of the spacetime

A four-dimensional static spherical symmetric charged black hole with scalar hair





Electromagnetic field
$$A_{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, -\frac{e}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3r_Br_S}{G_4}}\cos\theta)$$

Mass and magnetic charge

$$M = \left(\frac{2r_S + r_B}{4G_4}\right),$$
$$Q_{\rm m} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3r_B r_S}{G_4}}.$$

In terms of M and Q_m

$$r_S^{(1)} = 2G_4(M - M_{\triangle}), \quad r_B^{(1)} = G_4(M + M_{\triangle}),$$

 $r_S^{(2)} = G_4(M + M_{\triangle}), \quad r_B^{(2)} = 2G_4(M - M_{\triangle}),$

where
$$M_{\Delta}^2 = M^2 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}Q_{\rm m}}{\sqrt{3G_4}}\right)^2$$

NS
$$0 \le Q_m \le \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} G_4 M$$
 larger

RN $0 \le Q \le G_4 M$

Gregory-Laflamme instability [4]? The stability of small perturbations

$$r_S^{(2)} = G_4(M + M_{\triangle}), \quad r_B^{(2)} = 2G_4(M - M_{\triangle}),$$

The second solution is stable [3].

[3] S. Stotyn and R. B. Mann, Phys. Lett. B 705, 269 (2011), [1105.1854].
[4] R. Gregory and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2837 (1993), [hep-th/9301052].



Perturbed fields

$$\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \varphi,$$

$$A_{\mu} = \bar{A}_{\mu} + a_{\mu},$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

background

perturbations

spherical symmetric

spherical harmonic

scalars, two-dimensional vectors, and two-dimensional tensors



Scalar base

$$Y_{l,m}(\theta,\phi)$$

Vector bases

$$(V_{l,m}^{1})_{a} = \partial_{a} Y_{l,m}(\theta,\phi),$$

$$(V_{l,m}^{2})_{a} = \gamma^{bc} \epsilon_{ac} \partial_{b} Y_{l,m}(\theta,\phi).$$

Tensor bases

$$(T_{l,m}^{1})_{ab} = (Y_{l,m});_{ab},$$

$$(T_{l,m}^{2})_{ab} = Y_{l,m}\gamma_{ab},$$

$$(T_{l,m}^{3})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_{a}^{c}(Y_{l,m});_{cb} + \epsilon_{b}^{c}(Y_{l,m});_{ca} \right],$$

Space inversion

Even or polar parity

Odd or axial parity

$$(-1)^{l} \qquad Y_{l,m}, V_{l,m}^{1}, T_{l,m}^{1}, T_{l,m}^{2}$$
$$(-1)^{l+1} \qquad V_{l,m}^{2}, T_{l,m}^{3}$$



Background scalar field and metric field are even parity

$$e^{2\Phi} = f_B^{-1/2}$$
$$ds_4^2 = f_B^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[-f_S dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f_B f_S} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right]$$

Background magnetic field is odd parity

$$A_{\mu} = (0,0,0,-\frac{e}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3r_Br_S}{G_4}}\cos\theta)$$

Scalar perturbation and even-parity parts of the metric perturbations couple to the odd-parity parts of the electromagnetic perturbations (type-I coupling)

The odd-parity parts of the metric perturbations couple to the even-parity parts of the electromagnetic perturbations (type-II coupling)



In the Regge-Wheeler gauge [5]

Odd parts of the perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{l} e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & h_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sin \theta \partial_\theta Y_{l,0}(\theta)$$

Following ref. [6]

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}a_{\mu}$$

even parts of the perturbation $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{l} e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{01} & f_{02} & 0 \\ * & 0 & f_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sin \theta \partial_{\theta} Y_{l,0}(\theta)$$

we have chosen m = 0 for simplify

[5] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957).[6] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D 9, 860-868 (1974).





Equivalent to

$$a_t = -\sum_l e^{-i\omega t} f_{02} Y_{l,0},$$

$$a_r = -\sum_l e^{-i\omega t} f_{12} Y_{l,0},$$

$$a_\theta = 0,$$

$$a_\phi = 0.$$

Tortoise coordinate

$$dr_* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_B f_S}} dr$$

Master perturbation equations

$$\frac{d^2\psi_g}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{11})\psi_g - V_{12}\psi_m = 0$$
$$\frac{d^2\psi_m}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{22})\psi_m - V_{21}\psi_g = 0$$

where

$$V_{11} = f_{S} \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}} - \frac{3(r_{B}^{2}(13r_{S} - 9r) + 16r_{S}r^{2})}{16f_{B}r^{5}} \right] + f_{S} \frac{3r_{B}(2r - 7r_{S})}{4f_{B}r^{4}}, \qquad f_{B}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{B}}{r}$$
$$V_{12} = -\frac{if_{S}f_{B}^{1/4}}{el(l+1)r^{3}} \sqrt{6r_{B}r_{S}} \omega \kappa_{4},$$

$$V_{21} = \frac{i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}r_B r_S ef_S}{\kappa_4 \omega f_B^{1/4} r^3} (l-1)l(l+1)(l+2),$$

 $V_{22} = f_S \left[\frac{3r_B r_S}{r^4} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right].$

$$\tilde{\psi}_m \equiv \frac{\psi_m}{e}$$

eliminate e



Matrix-valued direct integration method [7]

Compact form

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{Y}}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - \mathbf{V})\mathbf{Y} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_g \\ \psi_m \end{pmatrix}$$



Boundary conditions

Pure ingoing waves at the event horizon

$$Y_i \sim b_i e^{-i\omega r_*}, \ r_* \to -\infty,$$

Pure outgoing waves at spatial infinity

$$Y_i \sim B_i e^{i\omega r_*}, \ r_* \to +\infty$$

[7] P. Pani, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1340018 (2013)

Matrix-valued continued fraction method [8]

Eigenfunctions



$$\psi_{\rm g} = (r - r_S)^{-p} (r - r_S + 1)^p e^{i(r - r_S)\omega} (r - r_S + 1)^{i(r_B/2 + r_S)\omega} \sum_n a_n^g H(r)^n,$$

$$\psi_{\rm m} = (r - r_S)^{-p} (r - r_S + 1)^p e^{i(r - r_S)\omega} (r - r_S + 1)^{i(r_B/2 + r_S)\omega} f_B(r)^{3/4} \sum_n a_n^m H(r)^n,$$

where
$$p = \frac{ir_S^{3/2}\omega}{\sqrt{r_s - r_B}}$$
 $H(r) = \frac{r - r_S}{r - r_B}$

[8] E. W. Leaver, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 402, 285-298 (1985).

seven-term recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0}\mathbf{A}_{1} &+ \beta_{0}\mathbf{A}_{0} = 0, \\ \alpha_{1}\mathbf{A}_{2} &+ \beta_{1}\mathbf{A}_{1} + \gamma_{1}\mathbf{A}_{0} = 0, \\ \alpha_{2}\mathbf{A}_{3} &+ \beta_{2}\mathbf{A}_{2} + \gamma_{2}\mathbf{A}_{1} + \rho_{2}\mathbf{A}_{0} = 0, \\ \alpha_{3}\mathbf{A}_{4} &+ \beta_{3}\mathbf{A}_{3} + \gamma_{3}\mathbf{A}_{2} + \rho_{3}\mathbf{A}_{1} + \lambda_{3}\mathbf{A}_{0} = 0, \\ \alpha_{4}\mathbf{A}_{5} &+ \beta_{4}\mathbf{A}_{4} + \gamma_{4}\mathbf{A}_{3} + \rho_{4}\mathbf{A}_{2} + \lambda_{4}\mathbf{A}_{1} \\ &+ \sigma_{4}\mathbf{A}_{0} = 0, \\ \alpha_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n+1} &+ \beta_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n} + \gamma_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n-1} + \rho_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n-2} + \lambda_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n-3} \\ &+ \sigma_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n-4} + \delta_{n}\mathbf{A}_{n-5} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

A three-term recurrence relation can be obtained through a matrix-valued version of the Gaussian elimination

Solve the inverse of the coefficient matrices



$ \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0^{11} & \beta_0^{12} & \alpha_0^{11} & \alpha_0^{12} \\ \beta_0^{21} & \beta_0^{22} & \alpha_0^{21} & \alpha_0^{22} \\ \gamma_1^{11} & \gamma_1^{12} & \beta_1^{11} & \beta_1^{12} & \alpha_1^{11} & \alpha_1^{12} \\ \gamma_1^{21} & \gamma_1^{22} & \beta_1^{21} & \beta_1^{22} & \alpha_1^{21} & \alpha_1^{22} \\ \rho_2^{11} & \rho_2^{12} & \gamma_2^{11} & \gamma_2^{12} & \beta_2^{11} & \beta_2^{12} & \alpha_2^{11} & \alpha_2^{12} \\ \rho_2^{21} & \rho_2^{22} & \gamma_2^{21} & \gamma_2^{22} & \beta_2^{21} & \beta_2^{22} & \alpha_2^{21} & \alpha_2^{22} \\ \lambda_1^{11} & \lambda_1^{12} & \rho_1^{11} & \rho_1^{12} & \gamma_1^{11} & \gamma_1^{12} & \beta_1^{11} & \beta_1^{12} & \alpha_1^{11} & \alpha_1^{12} \\ \lambda_3^{11} & \lambda_3^{22} & \rho_3^{21} & \rho_3^{22} & \gamma_3^{21} & \gamma_3^{22} & \beta_3^{21} & \beta_3^{22} & \alpha_3^{21} & \alpha_3^{22} \\ \sigma_1^{11} & \sigma_1^{12} & \lambda_1^{11} & \lambda_1^{12} & \rho_1^{11} & \rho_1^{12} & \gamma_1^{11} & \gamma_1^{12} & \beta_1^{11} & \beta_1^{12} & \alpha_4^{11} & \alpha_4^{12} \\ \sigma_1^{11} & \sigma_4^{12} & \lambda_4^{11} & \lambda_4^{12} & \rho_4^{11} & \rho_4^{12} & \gamma_4^{11} & \gamma_4^{12} & \beta_4^{11} & \beta_4^{12} & \alpha_4^{11} & \alpha_4^{12} \\ \sigma_4^{21} & \sigma_4^{22} & \lambda_4^{21} & \lambda_4^{22} & \rho_4^{21} & \rho_4^{22} & \gamma_2^{21} & \gamma_2^{22} & \beta_4^{21} & \beta_4^{22} & \alpha_4^{21} & \alpha_4^{21} \\ \delta_1^{51} & \delta_5^{52} & \sigma_5^{51} & \delta_5^{52} & \lambda_5^{51} & \lambda_5^{52} & \rho_5^{51} & \rho_5^{52} & \gamma_5^{51} & \gamma_5^{52} & \beta_5^{51} & \beta_5^{52} & \alpha_5^{51} & \alpha_5^{25} \\ & \ddots &$	$ \alpha_{n}^{12} \\ \alpha_{n}^{12} \\ \alpha_{2}^{2} \\ \alpha_{3}^{m} \\ \alpha_{4}^{m} \\ \alpha_{4}^{g} \\ \alpha_{5}^{g} \\ \alpha_{5}^{m} \\ \alpha_{5}^{m} \\ \alpha_{5}^{m} \\ \alpha_{5}^{m} \\ \alpha_{7}^{m} \\ \alpha$

The QNMs are those which make the determinant of the coefficient matrix is zero.



$Q_{\rm m}/M$	Charged BH DI		Charged BH CF		Q/M	RN BH	
	$\omega_{ m R} M$	$\omega_{\mathrm{I}}M$	$\omega_{ m R} M$	$\omega_{\mathrm{I}}M$		$\omega_{ m R} M$	$\omega_{\mathrm{I}} M$
0	0.37367	-0.088962	0.37367	-0.088962	0	0.37367	-0.088962
0.2	0.37474	-0.089081	0.37480	-0.089095	0.2	0.37474	-0.089075
0.4	0.37848	-0.089429	0.37855	-0.089463	0.4	0.37844	-0.089398
0.6	0.38641	-0.089982	0.38649	-0.090086	0.6	0.38622	-0.089814
0.8	0.40163	-0.090500	0.40169	-0.090886	0.8	0.40122	-0.089643
1.12	0.47027	-0.084231	0.47153	-0.092731	0.9999	0.43134 [77]	-0.083460 [77]

fundamental QNMs for the gravitational field ψ_g with l = 2



$Q_{\rm m}/M$	Charged BH DI		Charged BH CF		Q/M	RN BH	
	$\omega_{\rm R} M$	$\omega_{\mathrm{I}}M$	$\omega_{ m R} M$	$\omega_{\mathrm{I}} M$		$\omega_{ m R} M$	$\omega_{ m I} M$
0	0.45715	-0.094784	0.45715	-0.094784	0	0.45759	-0.095004
0.2	0.46295	-0.095377	0.46296	-0.095359	0.2	0.46297	-0.095373
0.4	0.47969	-0.096462	0.47969	-0.096441	0.4	0.47993	-0.096442
0.6	0.51053	-0.098155	0.51055	-0.098133	0.6	0.51201	-0.098017
0.8	0.56316	-0.10008	0.56320	-0.10002	0.8	0.57013	-0.099069
1.12	0.78258	-0.091135	0.79925	-0.098085	0.9999	0.70430 [77]	-0.085973 [77]

fundamental QNMs for the magnetic field ψ_m , with l = 2

The differences of the frequencies of fundamental QNMs between the charged black hole with a scalar hair and the RN balck hole are very small.





(b) The real parts of the QNFs for the RN black hole.





4. Conclusions

We studied the QNMs of this charged black hole by studying the linear perturbation of the gravitational field and the electromagnetic field.

We obtained two coupled perturbation equations.

The extra dimension radius Ry has no effect on the QNMs.

The differences of the frequencies of fundamental QNMs between the charged black hole and the RN balck hole are very small.

Thanks for your attention!

